arXiv:1610.08283v1 [math.DG] 26 Oct 2016

Tangent cones of Hermitian Yang–Mills connections with isolated singularities

Adam Jacob
UC Davis

Henrique Sá Earp
Unicamp

Thomas Walpuski
Massachusetts Institute of Technology

2016-10-25

Abstract

We give a simple direct proof of uniqueness of tangent cones for singular projectively Hermitian Yang–Mills connections on reflexive sheaves at isolated singularities modelled on μ–polystable holomorphic bundles over $\mathbf{P}^{n-1}$.

Keywords: *holomorphic vector bundles, reflexive sheaves, Hermitian Yang–Mills metrics, tangent cones*
MSC2010: 53C07; 14J60, 32Q15

1 Introduction

A projectively Hermitian Yang–Mills ($\mathbf{P}$HYM) connection A over a Kähler manifold X is a unitary connection A on a Hermitian vector bundle (E,H) over X satisfying

$$F_A^{0,2}=0 \quad \text{and} \quad i\Lambda F_A-\frac{\operatorname{tr}(i\Lambda F_A)}{\operatorname{rk}E}\cdot\operatorname{id}_E=0. \tag{1.1}$$

Since $F_A^{0,2}=0$, $\mathscr{E}:=(E,\bar\partial_A)$ is a holomorphic vector bundle and A is the Chern connection of H. A Hermitian metric H on a holomorphic vector bundle is called $\mathbf{P}$HYM if its Chern connection A_H is $\mathbf{P}$HYM. The celebrated Donaldson–Uhlenbeck–Yau Theorem [3, 4, 10] asserts that a holomorphic vector bundle $\mathscr{E}$ on a compact Kähler manifold admits a $\mathbf{P}$HYM metric if and only if it is μ–polystable; moreover, any two $\mathbf{P}$HYM metrics are related by an automorphism of $\mathscr{E}$ and multiplication by a conformal factor. If H is a $\mathbf{P}$HYM metric, then the connection A° on $\mathbf{P}\mathrm{U}(E,H)$ induced by A_H is Hermitian Yang–Mills (HYM), that is, it satisfies $F_{A^\circ}^{0,2}=0$ and $i\Lambda F_{A^\circ}=0$, and depends only on the conformal class of H. Conversely, any HYM connection A° on $\mathbf{P}\mathrm{U}(E,H)$ can be lifted to a $\mathbf{P}$HYM connection A; any two choices of lifts lead to isomorphic holomorphic vector bundles $\mathscr{E}$ and conformal metrics H.

An *admissible* $\mathbf{P}$HYM connection is a $\mathbf{P}$HYM connection A on a Hermitian vector bundle (E,H) over $X\setminus\operatorname{sing}(A)$ with $\operatorname{sing}(A)$ a closed subset with locally finite $(2n-4)$–dimensional

Hausdorff measure and $F_A \in L^2_{\mathrm{loc}}(X)$. Bando [1] proved that if A is a admissible $\mathbf{P}$HYM connection, then $(E, \bar\partial_A)$ extends to X as a reflexive sheaf $\mathscr{E}$ with $\operatorname{sing}(\mathscr{E}) \subset \operatorname{sing}(A)$. Bando and Siu [2] proved that a reflexive sheaf on a compact Kähler manifold admits a admissible $\mathbf{P}$HYM metric if and only if it is μ–polystable.

The technique used by Bando and Siu does not yield any information on the behaviour of the admissible $\mathbf{P}$HYM connection A_H near the singularities of the reflexive sheaf $\mathscr{E}$—not even at isolated singularities. The simplest example of a reflexive sheaf on $\mathbf{C}^n$ with an isolated singularity at 0 is $i_*\pi^*\mathscr{F}$ with $\mathscr{F}$ a holomorphic vector bundle over $\mathbf{P}^{n-1}$, $\pi\colon\ \mathbf{C}^{n-1}\backslash\{0\} \to \mathbf{P}^{n-1}$ the projection and $i\colon\ \mathbf{C}^{n-1}\backslash\{0\} \to \mathbf{C}^n$ the inclusion; cf. Hartshorne [6, Example 1.9.1]. The main result of this article gives a description of $\mathbf{P}$HYM connections near singularities modelled on $i_*\pi^*\mathscr{F}$ when $\mathscr{F}$ is μ–polystable.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $\omega = \frac{1}{2i}\bar\partial\partial|z|^2 + O(|z|^2)$ be a Kähler form on $\bar B_r(0) \subset \mathbf{C}^n$. Let A be an admissible $\mathbf{P}$HYM connection on a Hermitian vector bundle (E, H) over $B_r(0)\backslash\{0\}$ with $\operatorname{sing}(A) = \{0\}$ and $(E, \bar\partial_A) \cong \pi^*\mathscr{F}$. Denote by F the complex vector bundle underlying $\mathscr{F}$. If $\mathscr{F}$ is μ–polystable, then there exists a Hermitian metric H_0 on F, a $\mathbf{P}$HYM connection A_0 on (F, H_0), and an isomorphism $(E, H) \cong \pi^*(F, H_0)$ such that with A_* denoting the pullback of A_0 to (E, H) we have*

$$|\nabla^k_{A_*}(A^\circ - A^\circ_*)| \le C_k|z|^{-k-1}(-\log|z|)^{-1/2} \quad \text{for } k \ge 1;$$

moreover, if $\mathscr{F}$ is simple, then

$$|\nabla^k_{A_*}(A^\circ - A^\circ_*)| \le D_k|z|^{\alpha-k-1} \quad \text{for } k \ge 0.$$

The constants $C_k, D_k, \alpha > 0$ depend on ω, $\mathscr{F}$, $A|_{B_r(0)\backslash B_{r/2}(0)}$, and $\|F_A\|_{L^2(B_r(0))}$.

Remark 1.3. Using a gauge theoretic Łojasiewicz–Simon gradient inequality, Yang [11, Theorem 1] proved that the tangent cone to a stationary Yang–Mills connection—in particular, a $\mathbf{P}\mathrm{U}(r)$ HYM connection—with an isolated singularity at x is unique provided

$$|F_A| \lesssim d(x, \cdot)^{-2}.$$

In our situation, such a curvature bound can be obtained from Proposition 3.1 and interior estimates for $\mathbf{P}$HYM metrics [7, Theorem C.1]. However, our proof of Theorem 1.2 proceeds more directly without making use of Yang's theorem.

Example 1.4. Let us explain how to apply Theorem 1.2 to an example of a reflexive sheaf due to Okonek et al. [8, Example 1.1.13]. It follows from the Euler sequence that $H^0(\mathscr{T}_{\mathbf{P}^3}(-1)) \cong \mathbf{C}^4$. Denote by $s_v \in H^0(\mathscr{T}_{\mathbf{P}^3}(-1))$ the section corresponding to $v \in \mathbf{C}^4$. If $v \ne 0$, then the rank two sheaf $\mathscr{E} = \mathscr{E}_v$ defined by

$$0 \to \mathscr{O}_{\mathbf{P}^3} \xrightarrow{s_v} \mathscr{T}_{\mathbf{P}^3}(-1) \to \mathscr{E}_v \to 0$$

is reflexive and $\operatorname{sing}(\mathscr{E}) = \{[v]\}$.

$\mathscr{E}$ is μ–stable. To see this, because $\mu(\mathscr{E}) = 1/2$, it suffices to show that

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbf{P}^3}(k), \mathscr{E}) = H^0(\mathscr{E}(-k)) = 0 \quad \text{for } k \geq 1.$$

However, by inspection of the Euler sequence, $H^0(\mathscr{E}(-k)) \cong H^0(\mathscr{T}_{\mathbf{P}^3}(-k-1)) = 0$. It follows that $\mathscr{E}$ admits a $\mathbf{P}$HYM metric H with $F_H \in L^2$ and a unique singular point at $[v] \in \mathbf{P}^3$. To see that Theorem 1.2 applies, pick a standard affine neighbourhood $U \cong \mathbf{C}^3$ in which $[v]$ corresponds to 0. In U, the Euler sequence becomes

$$0 \to \mathscr{O}_{\mathbf{C}^3} \xrightarrow{(1,z_1,z_2,z_3)} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbf{C}^3}^4 \to \mathscr{T}_{\mathbf{P}^3}(-1)|_U \to 0,$$

and $s_v = [(1,0,0,0)]$; hence,

$$0 \to \mathscr{O}_{\mathbf{C}^3} \xrightarrow{(z_1,z_2,z_3)} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbf{C}^3}^3 \to \mathscr{E}_v|_U \to 0.$$

On $\mathbf{C}^3\backslash\{0\}$, this is the pullback of the Euler sequence on $\mathbf{P}^2$; therefore, $\mathscr{E}_v|_U \cong i_*\pi^*\mathscr{T}_{\mathbf{P}^2}$. (The above is also the pullback of the Euler sequence twisted by $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbf{P}^2}(k)$; since $\pi^*\mathscr{O}_{\mathbf{P}^2}(k)$ is trivial, we have $i_*\pi^*\mathscr{F}(k) = i_*\pi^*\mathscr{F}$.)

Example 1.5. For $t \in \mathbf{C}$ define $f_t\colon\ \mathscr{O}_{\mathbf{P}^3}(-2)^{\oplus 2} \to \mathscr{O}_{\mathbf{P}^3}(-1)^{\oplus 5}$ by

$$f_t := \begin{pmatrix} z_0 & 0 \\ z_1 & z_0 \\ z_2 & z_1 \\ t\cdot z_3 & z_2 \\ 0 & z_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

and denote by $\mathscr{E}_t$ the cokernel of f_t, i.e.,

$$0 \to \mathscr{O}_{\mathbf{P}^3}(-2)^{\oplus 2} \xrightarrow{f_t} \mathscr{O}_{\mathbf{P}^3}(-1)^{\oplus 5} \to \mathscr{E}_t \to 0.$$

If $t \neq 0$, then $\mathscr{E}_t$ is locally free; $\mathscr{E}_0$ is reflexive with $\mathrm{sing}(\mathscr{E}_0) = \{[0:0:0:1]\}$. The proof of this is analogous to that of the reflexivity of $\mathscr{E}_v$ from Example 1.4 given in [8, Example 1.1.13].

For each t, $H^0(\mathscr{E}_t) = H^0(\mathscr{E}_t^*(-1)) = 0$; hence, $\mathscr{E}_t$ is μ–stable according to the criterion of Okonek et al. [8, Remark 1.2.6(b)]. The former vanishing is obvious since $H^0(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbf{P}^3}(-1)) = H^1(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbf{P}^3}(-2)) = 0$. The latter follows by dualising, twisting by $\mathscr{O}_{\mathbf{P}^3}(-1)$ and observing that the induced map $H^0(f_0^*)\colon\ H^0(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbf{P}^3})^{\oplus 5} \to H^0(\mathscr{O}_{\mathbf{P}^3}(1))^{\oplus 2}$, which is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} z_0 & z_1 & z_2 & t\cdot z_3 & 0 \\ 0 & z_0 & z_1 & z_2 & z_3 \end{pmatrix},$$

is injective.

In a standard affine neighbourhood $U \cong \mathbf{C}^3$ of $[0:0:0:1]$, we have $\mathscr{E}_0|_U \cong i_*\pi^*(\mathscr{T}_{\mathbf{P}^2} \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbf{P}^2}(1)) \cong i_*\pi^*(\mathscr{T}_{\mathbf{P}^2} \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbf{P}^2}(3))$. To see this, note that the cokernel of the map $g\colon \mathscr{O}_{\mathbf{P}^2} \to \mathscr{O}_{\mathbf{P}^2}(1)^4 \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbf{P}^2}$ defined by

$$g := \begin{pmatrix} z_0 & 0 \\ z_1 & z_0 \\ z_2 & z_1 \\ 0 & z_2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

is $\mathscr{T}_{\mathbf{P}^2} \oplus \mathscr{O}_{\mathbf{P}^2}(1)$.

2 Reduction to the metric setting

In the situation of Theorem 1.2, H corresponds to a **P**HYM metric on $\pi^*\mathscr{F}$ via the isomorphism $(E, \bar\partial_A) \cong \pi^*\mathscr{F}$. By slight abuse of notation, we will denote this metric by H as well. After a conformal change, which does not affect A°, we can assume that $\det H$ is the pullback of a Hermitian metric h on $\det \mathscr{F}$. Denote by H_0 a **P**HYM metric on $\mathscr{F}$ with $\det H_0 = h$ and by A_0 the corresponding Chern connection. Setting

$$H_* := \pi^* H_0, \quad s := \log(H_*^{-1} H) \in C^\infty(B_r(0)\backslash\{0\}, i\mathfrak{su}(\pi^*F, H_*)),$$
$$\text{and} \quad \Upsilon(s) := \frac{e^{\mathrm{ad}_s} - 1}{\mathrm{ad}_s},$$

we have

$$e_*^{s/2} H = H_0 \quad \text{and} \quad e_*^{s/2} A = A_* + \frac{1}{2}\Upsilon(-s/2)\partial_{A_*} s - \frac{1}{2}\Upsilon(s/2)\bar\partial_{A_*} s.$$

Therefore, Theorem 1.2 will be a consequence of the following result.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\mathscr{F} = (F, \bar\partial)$ be a μ–polystable holomorphic vector bundle over $\mathbf{P}^{n-1}$ and set $\mathscr{E} := i_*\pi^*\mathscr{F}$. Let $\omega = \frac{1}{2i}\bar\partial\partial|z|^2 + O(|z|^2)$ be a Kähler form on $\bar B_r(0) \subset \mathbf{C}^n$. If H is an admissible **P**HYM metric on $\mathscr{E}|_{B_r(0)}$ with $\det H = \pi^* h$ for some Hermitian metric h on $\mathscr{F}$, then with H_0 denoting a **P**HYM metric on $\mathscr{F}$ with $\det H_0 = h$, $H_* = \pi^* H_0$, and $s := \log(H_*^{-1} H) \in C^\infty(B_r(0)\backslash\{0\}, i\mathfrak{su}(\pi^*F, H_*))$ we have*

$$|s| \le C_0 \quad \textit{and} \quad |\nabla_{H_*}^k s| \le C_k |z|^{-k} (-\log|z|)^{-1/2} \quad \textit{for } k \ge 1;$$

moreover, if $\mathscr{F}$ is simple, then

$$|\nabla_{H_*}^k s| \le D_k |z|^{\alpha - k} \quad \textit{for } k \ge 0.$$

The constants $C_k, D_k, \alpha > 0$ depend on ω, $\mathscr{F}$, $s|_{B_r(0)\backslash B_{r/2}(0)}$, and $\|F_H\|_{L^2}$.

The remainder of this paper is devoted to proving Theorem 2.1. Without loss of generality, we will assume that the radius r is one.

Conventions and notation. Set $B_r := B_r(0)$, $B := B_1$, and $\dot{B} := B\backslash\{0\}$.

We denote by $c > 0$ a generic constant, which depends only on $\mathscr{F}$, ω, and $s|_{B_1\setminus B_{1/2}}$. Its value might change from one occurrence to the next. Should c depend on further data we indicate this by a subscript. We write $x \lesssim y$ for $x \le cy$. The expression $O(x)$ denotes a quantity y with $|y| \lesssim x$.

3 A priori C^0 estimate

Proposition 3.1. *We have $|s| \in L^\infty(B)$ and $\|s\|_{L^\infty(B)} \le c$.*

Proof. This is essentially contained in Bando and Siu [2, Theorem 2(a) and (b)]. The proof relies on the differential inequality

$$\Delta \log \operatorname{tr} H_0^{-1} H_1 \lesssim |K_{H_1} - K_{H_0}| \tag{3.2}$$

for Hermitian metrics H_0 and H_1 with $\det H_0 = \det H_1$, and with

$$K_H := i\Lambda F_H - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(i\Lambda F_H)}{\operatorname{rk} E} \cdot \mathrm{id}_E;$$

see [9, p. 13] for a proof.

Step 1. *We have $\log \operatorname{tr} e^s \in W^{1,2}(B)$ and $\|\log \operatorname{tr} e^s\|_{W^{1,2}(B)} \le c$.*

Choose $1 \le i < j \le n$ and define the projection $\pi\colon B \to \mathbf{C}^{n-2}$ by $\pi(z) := (z_1, \dots, \hat{z}_i, \dots \hat{z}_j, \dots, z_n)$. For $\zeta \in \mathbf{C}^{n-2}$, denote by ∇_ζ and Δ_ζ the derivative and the Laplacian on the slice $\pi^{-1}(\zeta)$ respectively. Set $f_\zeta := \log \operatorname{tr} e^s|_{\pi^{-1}(\zeta)}$. Applying (3.2) to $H|_{\pi^{-1}(\zeta)}$ and $H_*|_{\pi^{-1}(\zeta)}$ we obtain

$$\Delta_\zeta f_\zeta \lesssim |F_H| + |F_{H_*}|.$$

Fix $\chi \in C^\infty(\mathbf{C}^2; [0,1])$ such that $\chi(\eta) = 1$ for $|\eta| \le 1/2$ and $\chi(\eta) = 0$ for $|\eta| \ge 1/\sqrt{2}$. For $0 < |\zeta| \le 1/\sqrt{2}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\pi^{-1}(\zeta)} |\nabla_\zeta(\chi f_\zeta)|^2 &\le \int_{\pi^{-1}(\zeta)} \chi^2 f_\zeta(|F_H| + |F_{H_*}|) + c \\ &\le \varepsilon \int_{\pi^{-1}(\zeta)} |\chi f_\zeta|^2 + \varepsilon^{-1} \int_{\pi^{-1}(\zeta)} |F_H|^2 + |F_{H_*}|^2 + c.\end{aligned}$$

Using the Dirichlet–Poincaré inequality and rearranging, we obtain

$$\int_{\pi^{-1}(\zeta)} |\chi f_\zeta|^2 + |\nabla_\zeta(\chi f_\zeta)|^2 \lesssim \int_{\pi^{-1}(\zeta)} |F_H|^2 + |F_{H_*}|^2 + c.$$

Integrating over $0 < |\zeta| \le 1/\sqrt{2}$ yields

$$\int_B |\log \operatorname{tr} e^s|^2 + |\nabla' \log \operatorname{tr} e^s|^2 \lesssim \int_B |F_H|^2 + |F_{H_*}|^2 + c$$

with ∇' denoting the derivative along the fibres of π. Since the choice of i, j defining π was arbitrary, the asserted inequality follows.

Step 2. *The differential inequality*

$$\Delta \log \operatorname{tr} e^s \lesssim |K_{H_*}|$$

holds on B in the sense of distributions.

Fix a smooth function $\chi\colon [0,\infty) \to [0,1]$ which vanishes on $[0,1]$ and is equal to one on $[2,\infty)$. Set $\chi_\varepsilon := \chi(|\cdot|/\varepsilon)$. By (3.2), for $\phi \in C_0^\infty(B)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_B \Delta\phi \cdot \log \operatorname{tr} e^s &= \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \int_B \chi_\varepsilon \cdot \Delta\phi \cdot \log \operatorname{tr} e^s \\ &\lesssim \int_B \phi |K_{H_*}| + \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \int_B \phi \left(\Delta\chi_\varepsilon \cdot \log \operatorname{tr} e^s - 2\langle \nabla\chi_\varepsilon, \nabla \log \operatorname{tr} e^s\rangle\right).\end{aligned}$$

Since reflexive sheaves are locally free away from a closed subset of complex codimension three, we have $n \geq 3$ and thus $\|\chi_\varepsilon\|_{W^{2,2}(B)} \lesssim \varepsilon^2$; because $\log \operatorname{tr} e^s \in W^{1,2}(B)$ this shows that the limit vanishes.

Step 3. *We have $\log \operatorname{tr} e^s \in L^\infty(B)$ and $\|\log \operatorname{tr} e^s\|_{L^\infty(B)} \leq c$.*

Since $\operatorname{tr} s = 0$, we have $|s| \leq \operatorname{rk}(\mathscr{E}) \cdot \log \operatorname{tr} e^s$; in particular, $\log \operatorname{tr} e^s$ is non-negative. By hypothesis $K_H = 0$. Since H_* is **PHYM** with respect to $\frac{1}{2i}\bar\partial\partial|z|^2$ and $|F_{H_*}| \lesssim |z|^{-2}$, we have $|K_{H_*}| \leq c$. The asserted inequality thus follows from Step 2 via Moser iteration; see [5, Theorem 8.1]. □

4 A priori Morrey estimates

Proposition 4.1. *For $r \in [0,1]$ we have*

$$\int_{B_r} |\nabla_{H_*} s|^2 \lesssim r^{2n-2}(-\log r)^{-1}.$$

Proposition 4.2. *If $\mathscr{F}$ is simple, then there is a constant $\alpha > 0$, depending on $\|s\|_{L^\infty(B)}$ in a monotonically decreasing way, such that for $r \in [0,1]$ we have*

$$\int_{B_r} |s|^2 \lesssim r^{2n+2\alpha} \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{B_r} |\nabla_{H_*} s|^2 \lesssim r^{2n-2+2\alpha}.$$

Both of these results rely on the following inequality.

Proposition 4.3. *We have*

$$|\nabla_{H_*} s|^2 \lesssim 1 - \Delta|s|^2.$$

Proof. Since $H = H_* e^s$ is **P**HYM, we have

$$\Delta|s|^2 + 2|\upsilon(-s)\nabla_{H_*} s|^2 \leq -4\langle K_{H_*}, s\rangle$$

with

$$\upsilon(-s) = \sqrt{\frac{1 - e^{-\operatorname{ad}_s}}{\operatorname{ad}_s}};$$

see, e.g., [7, Proposition A.6]. Using

$$\sqrt{\frac{1 - e^{-x}}{x}} \gtrsim \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |x|}},$$

$\|K_{H_*}\|_{L^\infty} \leq c$, and Proposition 3.1 the assertion follows. □

The proof of Proposition 4.2 also uses the following simple observation.

Proposition 4.4. *Let A be a connection on a $\mathbf{P}\mathrm{U}(r)$–bundle P over $\mathbf{P}^{n-1}$. Denote by $\bar{P}$ the pullback of P to S^{2n-1} and by $\bar{A}$ the induced connection on $\bar{P}$. If A is infinitesimally irreducible, then so is $\bar{A}$.*

Proof. If $\bar{\xi} \in \Omega^0(\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{P}})$ satisfies $\nabla_{\bar{A}}\bar{\xi} = 0$, then it must be S^1–invariant and, hence, it is the pullback of a $\xi \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{g}_P)$ satisfying $\nabla_A \xi = 0$. □

Corollary 4.5. *If $\mathscr{F}$ is simple, then for $r \in (0, 1]$ we have*

$$\int_{\partial B_r} |s|^2 \lesssim r^2 \int_{\partial B_r} |\nabla_{H_*} s|^2.$$

Proof of Proposition 4.2. Define $g\colon [0, 1/2] \to [0, \infty]$ by

$$g(r) := \int_{B_r} |z|^{2-2n} |\nabla_{H_*} s|^2.$$

We will show that

$$g(r) \leq c r^{2\alpha},$$

which implies the second asserted inequality and by Corollary 4.5 also the first.

Step 1. *We have $g \leq c$.*

Fix a smooth function $\chi\colon [0, \infty) \to [0, 1]$ which is equal to one on $[0, 1]$ and vanishes outside $[0, 2]$. Set $\chi_r(\cdot) := \chi(|\cdot|/r)$. For $r > \varepsilon > 0$, using Proposition 4.3 and Proposition 3.1, and with G denoting Green's function on B centered at 0, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{B_r \setminus B_\varepsilon} |z|^{2-2n} |\nabla_{H_*} s|^2 &\lesssim \int_{B_{2r} \setminus B_{\varepsilon/2}} \chi_r (1 - \chi_{\varepsilon/2}) G (1 - \Delta|s|^2) \\
&\lesssim \int_{B_{2r} \setminus B_r} |z|^{-2n} |s|^2 + r^2 + \varepsilon^{-2n} \int_{B_\varepsilon \setminus B_{\varepsilon/2}} |s|^2 \\
&\leq c.
\end{aligned}$$

Step 2. *There are constants $\gamma \in [0,1)$ and $A > 0$ such that*

$$g(r) \le \gamma g(2r) + Ar^2.$$

Continuing the inequality from Step 1 using Corollary 4.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{B_r\setminus B_\varepsilon} |z|^{2-2n}|\nabla_{H_*}s|^2 &\lesssim \int_{B_{2r}\setminus B_r} |z|^{2-2n}|\nabla_{H_*}s|^2 + r^{2n+2-2n} + \varepsilon^{2-2n}\int_{B_\varepsilon\setminus B_{\varepsilon/2}} |\nabla_{H_*}s|^2\\ &\lesssim g(2r) - g(r) + r^2 + g(\varepsilon).\end{aligned}$$

By Lebesgue's monotone convergence theorem, the last term vanishes as ε tends to zero; hence, the asserted inequality follows with $\gamma = \frac{c}{c+1}$ and $A = c$.

Step 3. *We have $g \le cr^{2\alpha}$.*

This follows from Step 1 and Step 2 and as in [7, Step 3 in the proof of Proposition C.2]. □

Proof of Proposition 4.1. We use the same notation as in the proof of Proposition 4.2. It still holds that $g \le c$. However, the proof of the doubling estimate in Step 2 uses that $\mathscr{F}$ is simple and will not carry over. Instead, using integration by parts and Hölder's inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{B_r\setminus B_\varepsilon} |z|^{2-2n}|\nabla_{H_*}s|^2 &\lesssim \int_{B_{2r}\setminus B_{\varepsilon/2}} \chi_r(1-\chi_{\varepsilon/2})G(1-\Delta|s|^2)\\ &\lesssim \int_{B_{2r}\setminus B_r} |z|^{1-2n}\partial_r|s|^2 + r^2 + \varepsilon^{1-2n}\int_{B_\varepsilon\setminus B_{\varepsilon/2}} \partial_r|s|^2\\ &\lesssim \left(\int_{B_{2r}\setminus B_r} |z|^{2-2n}|\nabla_{H_*}s|^2\right)^{1/2} + r^2\\ &\quad + \left(\int_{B_\varepsilon\setminus B_{\varepsilon/2}} |z|^{2-2n}|\nabla_{H_*}s|^2\right)^{1/2}.\end{aligned}$$

By Lebesgue's monotone convergence theorem, the last term vanishes as ε tends to zero; hence,

$$g(r) \lesssim (g(2r) - g(r))^{1/2} + r^2.$$

The asserted inequality now follows from Proposition 4.6. □

Proposition 4.6. *If $g\colon [0,1] \to [0,\infty)$ is monotone increasing and satisfies*

$$g(r) \le A(g(2r) - g(r))^{1/2} + Br^2,$$

then there are constants $c > 0$ and $r_0 \in (0,1]$ depending on A, B and $g(1)$, such that

$$g(r) \lesssim c(-\log r)^{-1}$$

for $r \in [0, r_0]$.

Proof. For $r \in [0, r_0]$ the function $h(r) := g(r) + B/Ar^2$ satisfies

$$h(r)^2 \le 2A(h(2r) - h(r));$$

hence,

$$h(r) \le \frac{1}{1 + \varepsilon h(r)} h(2r)$$

with $\varepsilon = 1/2A$. We can assume that $\varepsilon h(1) \le 1/2$. Using $(1 + x)^{-1} \le 1 - x$ for $x \ge 0$, and $(1 - x)^k \le 1 - \frac{k}{2}x$ for $x \in [0, 1/2]$, we derive

$$h(2^{-k}) \le \left(1 - \frac{k\varepsilon}{2} h(2^{-k})\right) h(1);$$

hence,

$$h(2^{-k}) \le \frac{2}{\varepsilon k}.$$

□

5 Proof of Theorem 2.1

Set $m_r(z) := rz$, $s_r := m_r^*(s|_{B_{4r}\setminus B_{r/2}}) \in C^\infty(B_4\setminus B_{1/2}, i\mathfrak{su}(E, H_*))$, and $\omega_r := r^{-2} m_r^* \omega$. $H_* e^{s_r}$ is **P**HYM with respect to ω_r.

Proposition 3.1 and interior estimates for **P**HYM metrics [7, Theorem C.1] imply that

$$\|s_r\|_{C^k(B_3\setminus B_{3/4})} \le c_k.$$

By Proposition 4.1, we have

$$\|\nabla_{H_*} s_r\|_{L^2(B_3\setminus B_{3/4})} \le c_k(-\log r)^{-1/2}.$$

Schematically, $K_{H_* e^{s_r}} = 0$ can be written as

$$\nabla_{H_*}^* \nabla_{H_*} s_r + B(\nabla_{H_*} s \otimes \nabla_{H_*} s_r) = C(K_{H_*}),$$

where B and C are linear with coefficients depending on s; see, e.g., [7, Proposition A.1]. Since $\|K_{H_*}\|_{C^k} \le c_k r^2$, as in [7, Step 3 in the proof of Proposition 5.1], standard interior estimates imply that

$$\|\nabla_{H_*}^k s_r\|_{L^\infty(B_2\setminus B_1)} \le c_k(-\log r)^{-1/2}$$

and, hence, the asserted inequalities, for $k \ge 1$. (The asserted inequality for $k = 0$ was already proved in Proposition 3.1.)

If $\mathscr{F}$ is simple, then by Proposition 4.2 we have

$$\|\nabla_{H_*} s_r\|_{L^2(B_4\setminus B_{1/2})} \lesssim r^\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad \|s_r\|_{L^2(B_4\setminus B_{1/2})} \lesssim r^\alpha;$$

hence, using standard interior estimates

$$\|\nabla_{H_*}^k s_r\|_{L^2(B_2\setminus B_1)} \lesssim r^\alpha \quad \text{for } k \ge 0.$$

This concludes the proof of Theorem 2.1. □

Acknowledgements. HSE and TW were partially supported by São Paulo State Research Council (FAPESP) grant 2015/50368-0 and the MIT–Brazil Lemann Seed Fund for Collaborative Projects. HSE is also funded by FAPESP grant 2014/24727-0 and Brazilian National Research Council (CNPq) grant PQ2 - 312390/2014-9.

References

[1] S. Bando. Removable singularities for holomorphic vector bundles. *Tohoku Math. J. (2)*, 43(1):61–67, 1991. ISSN 0040-8735. doi: 10.2748/tmj/1178227535. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.2748/tmj/1178227535.

[2] S. Bando and Y.-T. Siu. Stable sheaves and Einstein–Hermitian metrics. In *Geometry and analysis on complex manifolds*, pages 39–50. World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 1994.

[3] S. K. Donaldson. Anti self-dual Yang–Mills connections over complex algebraic surfaces and stable vector bundles. *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)*, 50(1):1–26, 1985. ISSN 0024-6115. doi: 10.1112/plms/s3-50.1.1. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1112/plms/s3-50.1.1.

[4] S. K. Donaldson. Infinite determinants, stable bundles and curvature. *Duke Math. J.*, 54(1):231–247, 1987. ISSN 0012-7094. doi: 10.1215/S0012-7094-87-05414-7. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1215/S0012-7094-87-05414-7.

[5] D. Gilbarg and N. S. Trudinger. *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*. Classics in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001. ISBN 3-540-41160-7. Reprint of the 1998 edition.

[6] R. Hartshorne. Stable reflexive sheaves. *Math. Ann.*, 254(2):121–176, 1980. ISSN 0025-5831. doi: 10.1007/BF01467074. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01467074.

[7] A. Jacob and T. Walpuski. Hermitian Yang–Mills metrics on reflexive sheaves over asymptotically cylindrical Kähler manifolds, 2016. arXiv:1603.07702.

[8] C. Okonek, M. Schneider, and H. Spindler. *Vector bundles on complex projective spaces*. Modern Birkhäuser Classics. Birkhäuser/Springer Basel AG, Basel, 2011. ISBN 978-3-0348-0150-8. doi: 10.1007/978-3-0348-0151-5. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-0348-0151-5. Corrected reprint of the 1988 edition, With an appendix by S. I. Gelfand.

[9] Y.-T. Siu. *Lectures on Hermitian–Einstein metrics for stable bundles and Kähler–Einstein metrics*, volume 8 of *DMV Seminar*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1987. ISBN 3-7643-1931-3. doi: 10.1007/978-3-0348-7486-1. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-0348-7486-1.

[10] K. K. Uhlenbeck and S.-T. Yau. On the existence of Hermitian–Yang–Mills connections in stable vector bundles. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 39(S, suppl.): S257–S293, 1986. ISSN 0010-3640. doi: 10.1002/cpa.3160390714. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/cpa.3160390714. Frontiers of the mathematical sciences: 1985 (New York, 1985).

[11] B. Yang. The uniqueness of tangent cones for Yang–Mills connections with isolated singularities. *Adv. Math.*, 180(2):648–691, 2003. ISSN 0001-8708. doi: 10.1016/S0001-8708(03)00016-1. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0001-8708(03)00016-1.